

United States bepartment of commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION:NO30 FILING PATE / 01 DOFREFIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 208892 023460 PM82/0827 - LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD **EXAMINER** TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 CHOP, A 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO IL 60601-6780 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3628

DATE MAILED: 08/2770

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/771,430

Andrea Chop

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit **3628**

Doerr et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio 	n.
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r be considered timely. 	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. 	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). iling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) $\overline{\chi}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{(1/26/01 \ Pres})$	liminary Amendment) .
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exceed closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i>	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>14-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) <u>14-24</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
attachment(s)	
5) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
i -	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20)	Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/771,430

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

- 1. It should be noted that the drawings have not yet been reviewed by a PTO draftsman.

 The drawings will be reviewed upon allowance of the application and Applicant will be apprised of their status accordingly.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the grommet must be shown or this feature should be cancelled from the claims (Claim 23). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As concerns Claims 15, 16 and 20, use of the phrase "the hang tag" in the body of the claim is confusing, since the claim is defining what the hang tag is, and referring to the hang tag in its definition is confusing.

4.4.5

Art Unit: 3628

As concerns Claims 17 and 18, the use of "or" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear which of the limitation(s) are part of the claimed invention, and the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 14-19, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swett, Jr. US 2,328,691.

Swett, Jr. shows a hang tag 1 with a slot 7; the dimensions of Swett, Jr. are considered to meet the broad limitations claimed due to the use of the limitation "about". The grommet is 10.

6. Claims 14-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeWoskin US 4,914,843.

DeWoskin shows a hang tag 15 made of polyvinylchloride with a slot 29; the dimensions of DeWoskin are considered to meet the broad limitations claimed due to the use of the limitation "about".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swett, Jr. US 2,328,691 as applied to Claim 14 above, and further in view of Machlica US 5,910,353.

Swett, Jr. shows the claimed invention, but lacks a cross-laminated material. Machlica teaches the use of a tag made of a cross-laminated material incorporating high density polyethylene. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the baggage tag to be made of a cross-laminated material incorporating high density polyethylene in view of Machlica in order to provide a tag which is durable and is laser ink printable.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The art cited shows various structures similar to Applicant's.

Art Unit: 3628

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea Chop whose telephone number is (703) 305-6358. The fax numbers for the Group are (703) 305-3597/8.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

MONIC OF PANDREA CHOP
PATENT EXAMINER
Avt Unit 228